



Women's experiences of managing digitation: do we ask enough in primary care?

Sharon Eustice, Nurse Consultant, Cornwall Foundation NHS Trust

Ruth Endacott, Director; Jenny Morris, Associate Professor; Rohit Shankar, Consultant in Adult Developmental Neuropsychiatry, Cornwall Foundation NHS Trust; Bridie Kent, Professor, Plymouth University

Background and purpose

Pelvic organ prolapse can be a distressing long-term condition and can reach a prevalence of 40% for women over 50 years of age living in the community, which equates to 4.6 million women across the UK.



The lived experience can be distressing for many women and for some digitation (using fingers) is an option to manage rectal emptying when the prolapse is causing obstructive defecation. Women initially approach primary care with prolapse and associated symptoms. Evidence of what interventions may work before heading to surgery is increasing, although there remain major gaps in our knowledge (Box 1). The purpose of this review is to consider the available literature across two areas. Firstly, to understanding the extent of the problem and secondly, to identify the lived experience of women who have difficulty with rectal emptying.

Box 1 What we don't know What we know Constipation can Risk factors for recurrent prolapse impact on prolapse symptoms Impact of lifestyle Pelvic floor muscle interventions exercises are a cost- Impact of prolapse effective and safe surgery on bladder intervention health Vaginal support pessaries may help resolve symptoms and delay the need for

surgery



Understanding the extent of the

- Low health seeking behaviour Constipation is four times more associated with a defaecatory disorder in women than men



Lived experience of women who have difficulty with rectal emptying

- Rectocele burden and its consequences are not
- Complex emotions around coping and managing stress add to the challenges with seeking healthcare
- Literature focuses on surgical approaches



Improving the management of digitation

- Digitation does not work for all women
- Innovation in prolapse management has mainly been surgical inserts to support weakness in the anatomical structures
- Production of novel non-surgical conservative devices has been deficient



Results

There is limited understanding of the impact of living with obstructed defaecation and the consequences of using digitation in women, especially in understanding the extent of the problem. There is opportunity for innovation in the field of managing obstructive defaecation.

Conclusion

This review considered the available evidence for the current management of pelvic organ prolapse, understanding the extent of the problem with particular attention to rectocele, and the lived experience of women who have difficulty with rectal emptying, whilst taking into account the need to improve the management of digitation. The findings help to stimulate a conversation on when and how to use a conservative management approach for women with pelvic organ prolapse. We have identified a dearth of knowledge about women living with the problem of obstructive defaecation leading them to use digitation. However, there is a promising opportunity for healthcare professionals in primary care to improve the experience of women struggling with bothersome symptoms, such as constipation, the need to digitate or anxiety. Further research is recommended that takes a deeper look into the lived experiences of women who struggle with symptoms.

Contributions to practice

- · Pelvic organ prolapse is a common presentation in primary care.
- Prolapse can lead to bothersome symptoms.
- Digitation is an underreported problem.
- Innovation may offer an alternative way of managing rectal emptying.

References: Office for National Statistics. Population Estimates for UK, England and Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland mid-2015. In: Office for National Statistics, 2016